I		THE HONORABLE THOMAS S. ZILLY		
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
11	PACIFIC SOUND RESOURCES, a Washington	No. C04-1654Z		
12	non-profit corporation; and THE PORT OF SEATTLE, a Washington municipal corporation;			
13 14	Plaintiffs, v.	PLAINTIFFS' INITIAL DISCLOSURES UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26(a)		
15 16 17	THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; J.H. BAXTER & CO., a California limited partnership; J.H. BAXTER & CO., a California corporation; and J.H. BAXTER & CO., INC., a California corporation.			
18 19	Defendants.			
20	Pursuant to the Combined Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan submitted October			
21	12, 2004, Plaintiffs Pacific Sound Resources ("PSR") and The Port of Seattle ("Port")			
22	("plaintiffs") hereby make the following voluntary disclosures under Federal Rule 26(a).			
23	Before this case was filed, the parties engaged in nearly two years of litigation over the			
24	contamination at the site from which this case arises. In the course of that litigation, styled			
25	Pacific Sound Resources and The Port of Seattle v. Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway			
26	Corp., et al., No. 02-2-27778-1SEA in the Superior Court of King County, Washington			
27				
28	PLAINTIFFS' INITIAL DISCLOSURES UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26(a) C04-1654Z - PAGE 1	BROWN REAVIS & MANNING PLLC 1201 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 320		

- plaintiffs produced for inspection and copying hundreds of thousands of pages of documents from which tens of thousands of pages were copied and provided to the parties.
  - Plaintiffs believe that their earlier disclosures far exceeded the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a) and incorporate those disclosures herein by reference. As described in the Joint Status Report filed by the parties in this case, plaintiffs nevertheless agreed to make additional disclosures on specific issues requested by defendants.

## I. Prior Discovery Disclosures

#### A. PSR Former Employees

In the prior state court litigation, PSR's discovery responses identified dozens of former employees as persons with knowledge of relevant facts. It also made available to defendants all employee personnel files in its possession as of April 2003. As requested by defendants, the following is a shorter list of former employees who are known to still be alive and to have discoverable information relevant to this case. Plaintiffs reserve the right to supplement this list as discovery continues.

- Ted DePriest: Mr. DePriest was the president of the Company from 1978 until 1994. Mr. DePriest has knowledge of plant operations, remediation and other events occurring during this time period. Mr. DePriest's address information is: 13467 64<sup>th</sup> Place NE, Kirkland, WA 98034; (206) 933-1000;
- 2. Oscar Flowers: Mr. Flowers held many positions at the West Seattle Plant from 1971 to 1991, including tie loader, framer, hook tender, incisor, talleyman, and foreman. Mr. Flowers' address information is: 4917 Thistle Drive, Apt. 701, Tyler, TX; (903) 509-0335;
- 3. Edgar L. Frease: Mr. Frease worked for the Company from 1941 to 1982. He also worked part-time from 1983 to 1988. He was originally hired as a bookkeeper at the Eagle Harbor Plant and was promoted to the Sales department at the downtown corporate office. Mr. Frease's address

1		information is: 8811 Battle Point Drive NE, Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-
2		1481; (206) 842-2509;
3	4.	Charles Hudson: Mr. Hudson worked for the Company from 1964 to 1988.
4		He was hired as a chemist and was promoted shortly thereafter to the
5		company's position of Technical Director. Mr. Hudson's address information
6		is: 121 Hasenlock Rd., Chehalis, WA 98532-8432; (360) 262-0912;
7	5.	Ralph Johnson: Mr. Johnson worked for the Company from 1962 to 1989.
8		While employed at the Company, he was a hooker, car loader, crane operator,
9		and general maintenance man. Mr. Johnson's address information is: 3506 NE
10		7 <sup>th</sup> Street, Renton, WA 98056; (425) 255-2280;
11	6.	Frank Laine: Mr. Laine was employed by the Company from June 1958 to
12		December 1958 and from 1963 to 1994. He served as a Crane Operator and a
13		Drott Operator. His address information is: 4522 - 332 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue SE, Fall
14		City, WA 98024; (425) 222-5703;
15	7.	<u>Darrell Palmer</u> : Mr. Palmer worked for the Company from 1965 to 1991. He
16		was hired as a Forester and was eventually promoted to Chief Forester at the
17		Company. His address information is: 76709 London Rd., Cottage Grove, OR
18		97424; (541) 942-4200;
19	8.	Clarence Rein: Mr. Rein was a tie stacker at the Company from 1946 to 1980.
20		His address information is: 3720 - 35 <sup>th</sup> Avenue SW, Seattle, WA 98126; (206)
21		935-0993; and
22	9.	<u>LeRoy Thompson</u> : Mr. Thompson served many positions at the Company
23		from 1965 to 1994. He was hired as a Locomotive Operator. He was then
24		promoted to Lead Talleyman for 10 years. He also served as Foreman at the
25		Eagle Harbor Plant for one year. His served the last 20 years with the
26		Company as Assistant Superintendent. His address information is: 440 SE
27		Evans Lane, Issaquah, WA 98027-4303; (425) 392-7679.
	PLAINTIFFS' INITI	IAL DISCLOSURES UNDER

28

## B. Port Employees

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- In the state court lawsuit, the Port provided the names and addresses of numerous individuals with knowledge of relevant facts. These disclosures are incorporated herein by reference. The following witnesses are likely to have discoverable information relevant specifically to plaintiffs' claims relating to costs incurred at the Marine Sediments Unit.
- 1. <u>Kathy Bahnick</u>: Ms. Bahnick is the Environmental Project Manager for the Marine Sediments Unit;
  - 2. <u>Robin Stevens</u>: Ms. Stevens is the Port Staff accountant who worked on Cost Accounting Claims 17 and 18; and

#### C. Other Witnesses

1. <u>Warren Hanson</u>: Mr. Hanson is a consultant with OnSite Consulting and worked with the Port on Cost Accounting Claims 17 and 18.

## D. Plaintiffs' Damages

Contrary to the statements made by defendants in the Joint Status Report, plaintiffs did provide a computation of damages relative to the work done in the Marine Sediments Unit. The information was reported in two declarations under oath, one from Kathy Bahnick, a Port employee, and one from Ruth Broome, who is employed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Those disclosures are incorporated herein by reference.

During 2003 the Port performed work in the Marine Sediments Unit consisting primarily of removing old pilings from the area where sediments are being remediated by EPA. The Port's work was spelled out in a Supplemental Administrative Order on Consent and a Supplemental Scope of Work dated December 4, 2002, both of which have been produced to defendants.

The Port's costs for the Supplemental Scope of Work were submitted to and approved by EPA as part of the Port's Cost Accounting Reports 17 and 18. Backup documentation for the costs has largely been provided to defendants. All of the work represented by Cost Accounting Reports 17 and 18 was not performed in the Marine Sediments Unit, but, as

PLAINTIFFS' INITIAL DISCLOSURES UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26(a) C04-1654Z - PAGE 4

### Case 2:04-cv-01654-RSL Document 18 Filed 11/05/04 Page 5 of 7

1 reported in the Bahnick declaration, the costs in those Cost Accounting Reports attributable

2 to the Marine Sediments Unit were at least \$490,985.25. Plaintiffs produced to defendants

the accounting records submitted by the Port to EPA for Claims 17 and 18 denoted by Bates

4 range POS 15079 to POS 15170.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

There are additional costs for work in the Marine Sediments Unit that have not yet

been submitted to EPA. These costs will be submitted as part of the Port's Cost Accounting

Claim 19 and documentation for those costs will be provided as soon as it is available.

In addition, plaintiffs will make available for review by defendants the Port's

accounting working papers used to prepare Cost Accounting Claims 17, 18 and 19.

As plaintiffs have previously informed defendants, PSR has not performed any work itself in the Marine Sediments Unit but instead, through a liquidation plan described in a 1994 Consent Decree, has liquidated assets and provided the proceeds to EPA. PSR is not in possession of detailed information regarding the specific expenses paid by EPA from funds provided by PSR. As described in the Broome declaration, however, PSR understands that \$10,550,304.06 has been spent or allocated to cleanup of the Marine Sediments Unit. PSR is attempting to obtain additional information from EPA and will make it available when it is obtained. In addition, PSR will be producing additional documents relating to its income and expenses since entry of the Consent Decree in 1994.

#### E. Insurance Policy and Settlement Issues

Attached is a coverage chart showing policy numbers and years, limits, insurers and other information for all the insurance policies PSR was able to determine may have provided coverage for the site at issue (Attachment A). Over the course of several years, PSR settled claims with several of its insurers and a coverage lawsuit was filed against two others. All claims were ultimately settled with policy releases, meaning that coverage is no longer available under any of the policies.

Plaintiff PSR believes that information regarding its insurers or settlements with insurers is irrelevant to this case. Moreover, defendants have not incurred any response or

# Case 2:04-cv-01654-RSL Document 18 Filed 11/05/04 Page 6 of 7

1	remedial action costs relative to the Marine Sediments Unit. Several of PSR's settlements		
2	with insurers contain confidentiality provisions that prohibit PSR from disclosing the terms of		
3	the agreements. Nevertheless, PSR has agreed to provide some information on the amount of		
4	insurance proceeds received by PSR. Total proceeds from insurance settlements, net of		
5	attorneys fees and expenses, were \$16,151,760.40.		
6	F. Contracts between Port and PSR and between Port and other owners or		
7	operators of Plant		
8	The Port has conducted a thorough review of its files and has not been able to identify		
9	any contracts with PSR and/or any other owners or operators of the Plant. Likewise, PSR has		
10	conducted a thorough review of its files and has not been able to identify any contracts with		
11	the Port.		
12	Dated this 5 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2004.		
13			
14	<u>s/ Gillis E. Reavis</u> WSBA No. 21451		
15	Rodney L. Brown, Jr., WSBA No. 13089 David D. Dicks, WSBA No. 29422		
16	BROWN REAVIS & MANNING PLLC 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 320		
17	Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 292-6300		
18	Fax: (206) 292-6301 E-mail: greavis@brmlaw.com		
19	rbrown@brmlaw.com ddicks@brmlaw.com		
20	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	PLAINTIFFS' INITIAL DISCLOSURES UNDER  FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26(a)  BROWN REAVIS & MANNING PLLC		

I	<b>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b>		
2	I hereby certify that on November 5, 2004, I electronically filed the foregoing		
3	with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of		
4	such filing to the following:		
5	such ming to the following.		
6	Thomas D. Adams	John F. Barg	
7	Bullivant Houser Bailey PC 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2400	Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLP One Market Steuart Tower, Suite 2700	
8	Seattle, WA 98101-1618 Email: tom.adams@bullivant.com	San Francisco, CA 94105-1475 Email: jfb@bcltlaw.com	
9	Attorneys for Defendant The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company	Attorneys for Defendant The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company	
10	James C. Hanken	Marc A. Zeppetello	
11	Law Offices of James C. Hanken 999 Third Avenue, Suite 3210	Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLP One Market Steuart Tower, Suite 2700	
12	Seattle, WA 98104 Email: jhanken@hankenlaw.biz	San Francisco, CA 94105-1475 Email: maz@bcltlaw.com	
13	Attorneys for Defendants Baxter Entities	Attorneys for Defendant The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company	
14			
15		s/ Mary V. Liton	
16		BROWN REAVIS & MANNING PLLC 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 320	
17		Seattle, Washington 98101	
18		Telephone: (206) 292-6300 Fax: (206) 292-6301	
19		E-mail: mliton@brmlaw.com	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	PLAINTIFFS' INITIAL DISCLOSURES UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26(a)	BROWN REAVIS & MANNING PLLC	